

CABINET MEMBER DECISION RECORD

This form should be used to record Executive decisions taken by Cabinet Members

Decision Ref. No:

Responsible Officer:

Chris Parkes, Team Leader – Traffic Management

Subject:

Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) for Richmond Hill/Beale Place Highway Improvement Scheme.

Decision taken:

To make permanent the Experimental TRO for the Richmond Hill/Beale Place Highway Improvement scheme as detailed in the attached Detailed Record of Decision.

Reasons for the decision:

The Experimental Traffic Regulation Order has been operational in this location for over 12 months and has proved successful in the management of parking and traffic movement controls.

Call-in and Urgency:

This decision is subject to the councils call in procedure.

Background:

The aim of the scheme, in the long term, is to introduce a more pedestrian-friendly, contemporary street scene in and around Beale Place. This scheme is part of a wider objective of regenerating key areas across the town centre.

Marrying into the improvements on Richmond Hill, this scheme is focused on making the lower part of Richmond Hill, Albert Rd, Yelverton Rd, Post Office Rd, Old Christchurch Rd and Beale Place more of a public space, with an emphasis on pedestrians.

In order to achieve an enhanced public space, which focuses on improving safety and on the needs of people using this part of the town centre, changes to traffic movement and flow in and around Beale Place were required. This was achieved through a trial closure/restriction of certain roads with an experimental TRO. This trial also provided the option to make slight amendments to the restrictions if the need arose. However, no amendments were required so this option was not needed.

In making the experimental TRO permanent, these changes will provide a greater scope for the extent of the creation of a new public space.

Options - and reasons for rejection:

Not making the effects of the Experimental Order permanent would result in the restrictions that were in place prior to the scheme becoming operational again. These restrictions would be inconsistent with future permanent plans for the area preventing the proposed regeneration.

Consultations undertaken:

A public overall scheme consultation opened on Friday 27 May 2016 and closed on Sunday 26 June 2016.

The Experimental Order consultation opened on 7 October 2016 and ended 12 months later. A Notice was placed in the Bournemouth Daily Echo on 30 September 2016 and on the council's website.

Notifications were sent to all councillors and all statutory consultees including emergency services, disability groups, local public transport providers, national transport associations and various council departments.

The consultation was advertised in the Bournemouth Daily Echo, on the council's website, on TraffWeb (the council's online TRO website), and on street notices in the relevant locations.

This fulfils the statutory consultation process required by The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996.

Finance/Resource Implications:

All initial implementation costs have been met by 2016 budgets allocated under the LTP programme.

Advertising costs associated with making the experimental traffic order permanent (estimated to be £600) will be met by the Permanent Traffic Regulation Order budget. The implementation of the restrictions will be undertaken as part of the scheme works.

Name: ADAM RICHENS

Signature: (of Chief Finance Officer)

Date: 15/2/18

Legal implications:

It is felt that the objections received to the making of the TRO have been properly considered and that there are therefore no grounds for challenge to the making of the TRO in this case.

Name: TANYA COULTER

Signature: (of Monitoring Officer)

Date: 2/2/18

Risk assessment:

An initial risk assessment has been completed and the proposals have been classed as low risk.

Name: CHRIS PAVES

Signature: (of Officer completing assessment)

Date: 5/2/18

Impact Assessments:

An Equality and Diversity Impact has been undertaken and is enclosed in the background papers.

Information for/not for publication:

This decision is to be published.


Background papers:Detailed Record of Decision
Initial Risk assessment
EINA Screening Record

Any conflict of interest declared by a Cabinet Member who is consulted by the Member taking the decision	Name of Cabinet Member	Nature of interest	Details of any dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer
No/Yes*			

* Delete as appropriate

Decision taken by:Councillor MILK GREENIE..... (Print name)Cabinet Portfolio TRANSPORT, CLEANSING AND WASTE

Signed:



Date of decision:

21/2/18

Date of publication of record of decision:

Date decision effective:

THE BOROUGH OF BOURNEMOUTH (BEALE PLACE) EXPERIMENTAL ORDER (No. 1) 2016

No.	Location / Restriction	Response	Decision	
1.	No entry except cycles	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yelverton Road into Old Christchurch Road 2. Hinton Road / St Peter's Road into Beale Place 3. Albert Road adjacent to No. 14 (no entry south-eastbound) 4. Post Office Road at the start of the pedestrianised area (no entry south-eastbound) 5. Old Christchurch Road at the start of the pedestrianised area at Horseshoe Common (no entry south-westbound) 	<p>1. Objection:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Yelverton Rd and Verulam Place are a 'turning point' - needs to be rethought. Turning vehicles are conflicting with pedestrians and cyclists. b) Parking on restrictions also causes problems. The remaining disabled spaces and delivery vehicles legitimately doing job impede two-way traffic and turning vehicles. <p>2. Objection No provision for disabled people to park within easy reach of shops in the area.</p> <p>3. Objection Objects to the removal of the disabled space outside bank opposite Beales which is useful for shopping and banking.</p> <p>4. Objection Loss of disabled parking a disaster. No longer possible to bank at RBS, access Beales or any of other shops in the area.</p>	<p>To give permanent effect to the current restrictions Reason:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.a) Vehicles are discouraged from using Yelverton Rd, by restricting the available parking spaces to Blue Badge Holders and loading only, which reduces the need for vehicles to turn. This will be further enhanced by the next phase for Yelverton Rd, which will change the surfacing and make the entry point less prominent. Additionally, as motorists got used to the new layout the number of vehicles using the road reduced. On the subject of turning areas within the scheme, the turning location in Albert Rd was being constructed for the early months of the Experimental Order. This is now fully operational. Both turning locations are in a very low speed environment due to the layout of the highway and the presence of a 20mph speed limit. There are no reported incidents at either location. 1.b) Vehicles parked contrary to the marked parking restrictions will be liable to enforcement. 2. Future schemes in the area will increase the number of spaces dedicated for Blue Badge holders. Blue Badge holders can also park for free in payment parking spaces and for unlimited time in Limited Waiting spaces. Richmond Gardens multi-storey car park offers step free access to the shops in the pedestrian area. For information, the recent Town Centre West highway scheme has recently increased the number of available dedicated spaces for Blue Badge Holders 3. See response No. 2 above. 4. See response No. 2 above.
2.	Pedestrian zone, prohibition of motor vehicles with No Waiting At Any Time, except loading 10pm-10am	All existing pedestrianised areas on Old Christchurch Road, Post Office Road, as well as Beale Place (whole length), Albert Road (Old Christchurch Road to No. 14), Old Christchurch Road (Albert Road to Yelverton Road), Adeline Lane (whole length)	To give permanent effect to the restrictions Reason: no objections received	

3.	No Waiting At Any Time & loading ban	Yelverton Road in part of both existing disabled bays		To give permanent effect to the restrictions Reason: no objections received
4.	24 hr Disable Persons Parking Place with waiting limited to 3hrs between 8am-6pm, no return within 1 hr	Yelverton Road, extend the northern bay north-westwards by 1 space		To give permanent effect to the current restrictions Reason: See objections above
5.	Loading bay	i. Yelverton Road adjacent to HSBC ii. Hinton Road adjacent to Beale Place		To give permanent effect to the restrictions Reason: no objections received
6.	Prohibition of Motor Vehicles midnight to 5am	Hinton Road between Gervis Place and St Peter's Road		To give permanent effect to the restrictions Reason: no objections received

EINA Screening Record

Title of Policy/Service/Project	Experimental Traffic Regulation Order for Richmond Hill / Beale Place Highway Improvement Scheme
Date of screening	05/02/2018
Service Unit	Environment
Lead Responsible Officer	Chris Parkes
Job Title	Team Leader - Traffic Management
Members of the Assessment Team	Chris Parkes, Jamie Griffiths

If the answers to the following questions are Yes or Don't know, then a full EINA will need to be carried out.

Is there likely to be a positive or negative impact in terms of equalities?	No
Does it involve a significant commitment of resources?	No

It is not necessary at the screening stage to identify adverse or differential impact

It is important to remember that even when it is decided that a policy/service/project does not require an EINA, it remains subject to the general duties. Not carrying out a full EINA places our council at greater risk of legal challenge because it cannot use the EINA process to meet our [Public Duties](#) around equality. It also means, more importantly, that opportunities may have been missed to promote equality.

If you have answered no to the questions above and do not intend to carry out an EINA, please explain why?

The Richmond Hill / Beale Place Highway Improvement Scheme has been subject to an overall review of its impact on equality.



Initial Risk Assessment



PROJECT NAME:

Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) for Richmond Hill/Beale Place Highway Improvement Scheme

PROJECT NUMBER: *To be obtained from PMO*

PROJECT RISK LEVEL:

LOW *

Table 1 - Suggested criteria on which to score the type of project (please tick appropriate category)					
CRITERIA				Score	
1) Duration of Project	0-6 months <input checked="" type="radio"/>	7-12 months <input type="radio"/>	Above 1 year <input type="radio"/>	2	
2) Effort	1-4 people (FTE) <input checked="" type="radio"/>	5-10 people (FTE) <input type="radio"/>	11+ people (FTE) <input type="radio"/>	1	
3) Business Impact	Service Unit/Service <input type="radio"/>	More than 1 SU <input type="radio"/>	Council/External <input checked="" type="radio"/>	10	
4) Priority	Desirable <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Highly Desirable <input type="radio"/>	Essential <input type="radio"/>	1	
5) Costs &/or Savings	Up to £250k <input checked="" type="radio"/>	£251k-£500k <input type="radio"/>	Over £501k or if project is to be funded through prudential borrowing <input type="radio"/>	2	
6) Risk Impact	Low Impact - Minor service disruption/inconvenience, minor injury, small financial loss, isolated service user complaint. <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Medium Impact - Service disruption, More serious injury or financial loss, adverse media coverage, numerous service user complaints <input type="radio"/>	High Impact - Significant or total service disruption, major disabling injury or fatality, high or catastrophic financial loss, adverse national media coverage, ministerial intervention in service running. <input type="radio"/>	1	
				Risk Score	17

A numerical rating is applied to each cell (see Table 1) For example a 'Priority' of 'Highly Desirable' is worth 3 points and a 'Cost' of '£501-£1m' is worth 5 points. Totalling the points scored for each 'criteria' gives a project score. This score is then mapped against a project-risk status in Table 1 below:

Table 1 - Risk Status	
Project Risk Rating	Points Total
Low	Between 10-18
Medium	Between 19-35
High	36+